



# A Multidisciplinary Approach to Aerodynamic Loading of a 2.75-in Rocket With Fins

by Karen R. Heavey, James F. Newill,  
and Jubaraj Sahu

ARL-MR-520

September 2001

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

20011128 066

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

# Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

---

ARL-MR-520

September 2001

---

## A Multidisciplinary Approach to Aerodynamic Loading of a 2.75-in Rocket With Fins

Karen R. Heavey, James F. Newill,  
and Jubaraj Sahu

Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

---

## Abstract

---

A multidisciplinary effort was undertaken to investigate the effect of structural loading on a rocket of interest to the U.S. Army. This report addresses the element of aerodynamic loading. Computational fluid dynamics techniques have been used to obtain numerical solutions for the flow field of a rocket with and without fins. Computed results have been obtained for a Mach number of 2.0 at  $0^\circ$  and  $5^\circ$  angles of attack. Qualitative flow field features show the surface pressure on the surface of the rocket. The surface pressure data was then extracted from the solution files. Software was developed to couple this data to a structural dynamics solver. The results provided the aerodynamic loading component used during the initial portion of the rocket flight. Preliminary results showing x-stress on the rocket at a  $5^\circ$  angle of attack are shown. Additional efforts are needed to generalize and fine tune the CFD/SD methods.

---

## Contents

---

List of Figures	v
1. Introduction	1
2. Solution Technique	2
2.1 Numerical Technique .....	2
2.2 Chimera Composite Grid Scheme .....	2
2.3 Boundary Conditions .....	3
3. Model Geometry and Computational Grid	4
4. Results	5
5. Application to Structural Dynamics	8
6. Conclusion	14
7. References	15
Distribution List	17
Report Documentation Page	21

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

---

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1. Photograph of a 2.75-in rocket with wraparound fins.....	1
Figure 2. Computation model of a rocket with wraparound fins.....	1
Figure 3. Computational grid for rocket body section.....	4
Figure 4. Expanded view of surface grids on finned model.....	5
Figure 5. Circumferential view of grids in aft section. ....	6
Figure 6. Pressure contours, body only.....	7
Figure 7. Expanded view of surface pressure contours, body only.....	7
Figure 8. Surface pressure contours, with and without fins. ....	8
Figure 9. Expanded view of surface pressure contours, finned model. ....	9
Figure 10. Pressure contours, finned model.....	10
Figure 11. Expanded view of circumferential pressure contours, finned model.....	11
Figure 12. Comparison of computational meshes.....	12
Figure 13. Aerodynamic surface pressure loaded onto structural mesh. ....	12
Figure 14. Initial loading of the rocket. ....	13
Figure 15. Axial stresses at first maximum yaw, composite section.....	13
Figure 16. Axial stresses at first maximum yaw, entire rocket.....	14

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.



---

## 1. Introduction

---

The advancement of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is beginning to have a major impact on projectile design and development [1-4]. Improved computer technology and state-of-the-art numerical procedures enable scientists to develop solutions to complex, three-dimensional problems associated with projectile and missile aerodynamics. The research effort has focused on the development and application of a versatile overset grid numerical technique to solve geometrically complex singlebody and multibody aerodynamic problems. This numerical capability has been used successfully to determine the aerodynamics on a number of projectile configurations [4-6] at transonic and supersonic speeds. Earlier applications involved axisymmetric flow computations [4, 5]. Recently, this technique has been used to investigate the submunition dispersal from an Army tactical missile system [6] involving three-dimensional (3-D) flow computations.

This report describes the application of the advanced numerical technique to a finned rocket of interest to the U.S. Army (see Figure 1). The computational model for this system, which consists of a rocket body with three wraparound fins, is shown in Figure 2. This problem involves 3-D flow computations of a finned rocket at angle of attack. The particular problem here is to determine the resulting surface pressure on the rocket with the fins deployed.




Figure 1. Photograph of a 2.75-in rocket with wraparound fins.



Figure 2. Computation model of a rocket with wraparound fins.

The complexity and uniqueness of this type of problem result from the aerodynamic interference of the individual components, which include 3-D shock-shock interactions, shock-boundary layer interactions, and highly viscous-dominated separated wake flow regions. The overset grid technique [7-9], which is ideally suited to this problem, involves generating numerical grids about each body component and then oversetting them onto a base grid to form the complete model. With this composite overset grid approach, it is possible to determine the 3-D interacting flow field of the system and the associated aerodynamic forces and moments without the need for costly regridding. The solution procedure of the developed technique is to compute the interference flow field at multiple locations until final converged solutions are obtained and then to integrate the pressure and viscous forces to obtain the total forces and moments.

A description of the numerical technique and the Chimera technique follows. Next, the model geometry and the various computational grids used in the numerical computations are described in detail. The steady-state computational results for various configurations and angles of attack are presented. Finally, the surface pressure data is interpolated and applied to the structural analysis of the rocket.

---

## 2. Solution Technique

---

### 2.1 Numerical Technique

A structured overset grid Navier-Stokes solver was used for this study. OVERFLOW [10, 11], a thin-layer, Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes solver, was developed at NASA. This code has recently been used extensively in the study of a slender body projectile [12]. It uses a finite-volume, implicit, factored diagonal scheme to solve the Navier-Stokes equations. For the finned rocket study, the one-equation Spalart-Allmaras  $R_T$  turbulence model (fully turbulent) was used.

### 2.2 Chimera Composite Grid Scheme

The Chimera overset grid scheme [7-9] is a domain decomposition approach where a configuration is meshed using a collection of overset grids. It allows each component of the configuration to be gridded separately and overset into a main grid. Overset grids are not required to join in any special way. Usually, there is a major grid that covers the entire domain or a grid generated about a dominant body. Minor grids are generated about the rest of the other bodies. Because each component grid is generated independently, portions of one grid

may be found to lie within the solid boundary contained within another grid. Such points lie outside the computational domain and are excluded from the solution process.

In the finned rocket study, the grid surrounding the rocket body serves as the major grid, while the grids around the fins are minor grids. The minor grids are completely overlapped by the major grid; thus, each boundary can obtain information by interpolation from the major grid. Similar data transfer or communication is needed from the minor grids to the major grid. However, a natural outer boundary that overlaps these grids does not exist. The Chimera technique creates an artificial boundary (also known as a hole boundary) between grids that provides the required path for information transfer from the fin grid to the rocket body grid. The resulting hole region is excluded from the flow field solution in the rocket body grid. The set of grid points that form the border between the hole points and the normal field points are called intergrid boundary points. These points are updated by interpolating the solution from the overset grid that created the hole.

A major part of the Chimera overset grid approach is the information transfer from one grid into another by means of the inter-grid boundary points. Again, these points consist of a set of points that define the hole boundaries and outer boundaries of the minor grids. These points depend on the solutions in the overlapping regions. In the present work, the PEGSUS code [13] has been used to establish the linkages between the various grids that are required by the flow solver or aerodynamics code described earlier. These include determining the interpolation coefficients and the setting up of Chimera logic for bodies making holes in overlapping grids.

### **2.3 Boundary Conditions**

For simplicity, most of the boundary conditions have been imposed explicitly [1]. For the rocket body grid, a combination of symmetry and extrapolation boundary conditions are used at the center line (axis). A symmetry boundary condition (with one plane overlap) is imposed at the circumferential edges of the projectile grid. A no-slip boundary condition is used on all surfaces of the model, including the fins. The pressure at the wall is calculated by solving a combined momentum equation. Boundary conditions are not applied at the outer boundaries of the fin grids; instead, they are updated through the Chimera interpolation procedure.

---

### 3. Model Geometry and Computational Grid

---

The computational model consists of a 2.75-in rocket with three wraparound fins. The rocket is an ogive cylinder, 81 inches in length. The fins are located at circumferential positions of  $0^\circ$ ,  $120^\circ$  and  $240^\circ$  near the base of the rocket. Each fin is 3 in long and has a  $10^\circ$  beveled leading edge. The entire grid system contains approximately 6.9 million grid points and consists of 10 separate sections. Figure 3 shows a cross-sectional view of the longitudinal grid for the body of this complex configuration. One large grid provides the mesh for this section of the model. The computational mesh for the fins, however, is more complex. Three grids are used to model each fin—one near the rocket/fin junction, one on the main surface of the fin, and one on the back edge of the fin. Each grid section was obtained separately and then combined to provide the full grid. The grid dimensions for the rocket body grid are  $194 \times 181 \times 158$ , in the longitudinal, circumferential, and radial directions respectively. Likewise, the fin grid sections are  $194 \times 24 \times 41$ ,  $77 \times 121 \times 24$ , and  $29 \times 67 \times 20$ . The final computational meshes were created using the various tools found in the OVERGRID grid generation software package [14].




Figure 3. Computational grid for rocket body section.

As stated earlier, the Chimera technique allows individual grids to be generated with any grid topology, thus making the grid generation process easier. For this study, the rocket was initially modeled without the fins. Meshes were built for a single fin at the  $0^\circ$  position. A geometric transformation was used to place it at additional circumferential locations of  $120^\circ$  and  $240^\circ$ . Figure 4 shows an expanded view of the surface grids on the aft section of the finned model. A circumferential view of the grids at an axial location near the middle of the fin is shown in Figure 5.




Figure 4. Expanded view of surface grids on finned model.

---

## 4. Results

---

In this study, 3-D steady-state numerical computations were performed for the rocket body alone and with fins. Computations were completed for a Mach number of 2.0 and at  $0^\circ$  and  $5^\circ$  angles of attack. Atmospheric flight conditions were used. The computations were done using the Silicon Graphics Origin 2000 supercomputers at the ARL Major Shared Resource Center (MSRC). The calculations for each body alone case required approximately 60 hr of computer time. With the addition of the fin grids (and the Chimera calculations), the time requirement increased to approximately 140 hr.





Figure 5. Circumferential view of grids in aft section.

Results are shown first for the rocket body alone. Figure 6 shows pressure contours for the rocket body at  $0^\circ$  and  $5^\circ$  angles of attack. This figure shows differences in the flow field at the nose, along the cylinder, and on the base area of the rocket, at different angles of attack. At a  $0^\circ$  angle of attack, the flow field is quite symmetric, while the  $5^\circ$  angle of attack computation shows asymmetric flow in these areas. An expanded view of the surface pressure on the base area of the rockets is shown in Figure 7. The rocket at a  $5^\circ$  angle of attack shows higher pressure values on the windside of the rocket body. Modified pressure distribution on the base of the rocket is also shown.

As can be expected, the addition of the fins to the rocket body results in a much more complex flow field. Figure 8 shows the surface pressure on each configuration at a  $5^\circ$  angle of attack. The flow field near the nose of the rocket shows little influence attributable to the addition of the fins. The changes to the flow field are obvious in the aft area of the rocket.

**AoA = 5.0**



**AoA = 0.0**




Figure 6. Pressure contours, body only.

**AoA = 5.0**



**AoA = 0.0**



Figure 7. Expanded view of surface pressure contours, body only.




Figure 8. Surface pressure contours, with and without fins.

The next few figures show the effect of angle of attack on the aft section of the rocket body and the fins. In Figure 9, an increase in surface pressure on the underside of the rocket and fins is apparent. The difference on the base of the rocket can also be seen. Figure 10 shows circumferential pressure contours at an axial location in the middle of the fin sections. The contour line plots in Figure 11 show the pressure difference from a slightly different angle.

---

## 5. Application to Structural Dynamics


---

For this problem, a one-way coupling was employed where the pressure loads from the CFD calculation were transferred and reacted by the structure. The resulting structural deformations were not reflected back to the fluids problem, since the body chosen is very stiff in the axial direction and the pressure differentials are relatively small.


In order to transfer the pressure loads, an averaging method is required since typically, the meshes used for dynamic structural calculation are much coarser than the grids used for computational fluids problems (Figure 12). The averaging method must conserve total force, although minor changes in the distribution result from the mesh dissimilarities. The mapping is unique and can be applied to each time calculation from the CFD solution.

The method employed was to use the CFD data to calculate the pressure at the gauss points of the faces of the elements on the surface of the rocket and integrate the total pressure in order to apply an area averaged pressure on the face. Obviously, as the mesh in the structural calculation is refined, the more exact the distribution of the pressure. Figure 13 shows the pressure contours on the nose of the rocket. When the CFD pressure distribution is compared to the





**AoA = 0.0**



**AoA = 5.0**

Figure 9. Expanded view of surface pressure contours, finned model.






Figure 10. Pressure contours, finned model.

$AoA = 0.0$



$AoA = 5.0$




Figure 11. Expanded view of circumferential pressure contours, finned model.




Figure 12. Comparison of computational meshes.




Figure 13. Aerodynamic surface pressure loaded onto structural mesh.

pressure distribution on the structures model, it is seen that the distributions are quite close. The aerodynamic pressure is only one source of the load on the projectile. Figure 14 shows the other sources of loading data for the initial portion of the rocket's flight. DYNA3D [15], an explicit finite element program for structural/continuum mechanics problems, was used to perform the structural analysis of the rocket. Using small time steps, it integrates the equations of motion to efficiently solve transient dynamic problems.

Figures 15 and 16 show results from the structural analysis. Figure 15 shows the deformation of a composite section of the rocket added to contain instrumentation and sensors. Figure 16 shows the reaction of the loading on the entire rocket.




Figure 14. Initial loading of the rocket.




Figure 15. Axial stresses at first maximum yaw, composite section.

max: 1.03e+03, brick 1942  
min: -3.86e+02, brick 1677




Figure 16. Axial stresses at first maximum yaw, entire rocket.

---

## 6. Conclusion

---

A computational study has been undertaken to compute the surface pressure on a rocket, body alone and with wrap-around fins. Flow field computations have been performed at a Mach number of 2.0 and 0° and 5° angles of attack, using an overset grid CFD solver and the Chimera composite grid discretization technique. The surface pressure at individual grid points was extracted for each case. The data was transferred to a dynamic structural analysis of the 2.75-in rocket using a generalized interface. A preliminary load mapping capability between CFD and SD has been demonstrated.

---

## 7. References

---

1. Sahu, J., and J. L. Steger. "Numerical Simulations of Transonic Flows." *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 855-873, 1990.
2. Ferry, E. N., J. Sahu, and K. R. Heavey. "Navier-Stokes Computations of Sabot Discard Using Chimera Scheme." Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Ballistics, San Francisco, CA, September 1996.
3. Sahu, J., K. R. Heavey, and E. N. Ferry. "Computational Fluid Dynamics for Multiple Projectile Configurations." Proceedings of the Third Overset Composite Grid and Solution Technology Symposium, Los Alamos, NM, October 1996.
4. Sahu, J., and C. J. Nietubicz. "Application of Chimera Technique to Projectiles in Relative Motion." ARL-TR-590, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, October 1994.
5. Sahu, J., K. R. Heavey, and C. J. Nietubicz. "Time-Dependent Navier-Stokes Computations for Submunitions in Relative Motion." Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Computational Fluid Dynamics, Lake Tahoe, NV, September 1995.
6. Sahu, J., H. L. Edge, K. R. Heavey, and E. N. Ferry. "Computational Fluid Dynamics Modeling of Multi-body Missile Aerodynamic Interference." ARL-TR-1765, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, August 1998.
7. Steger, J. L., F. C. Dougherty, and J. A. Benek. "A Chimera Grid Scheme." *Advances in Grid Generation*, ASME FED-5, edited by K. N. Ghia and U. Ghia, June 1983.
8. Benek, J. A., T. L. Donegan, and N. E. Suhs. "Extended Chimera Grid Embedding Scheme With Application to Viscous Flows." AIAA Paper No. 87-1126-CP, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reston, VA, 1987.
9. Buning, P. G., I. T. Chiu, S. Obayashi, Y. M. Rizk, and J. L. Steger. "Numerical Simulation of the Integrated Space Shuttle Vehicle in Ascent." AIAA Atmospheric Flight Mechanics Conference, Minneapolis, MN, August 1988.
10. Buning, P. G., D. Jespersen, T. Pulliam, G. Klopfer, W. Chan, J. Slotnick, S. Krist, and K. Renze. *OVERFLOW User's Manual*. NASA-Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, CA, June 1995.

11. Jespersen, D. J., T. H. Pulliam, and P. G. Buning. "Recent Enhancements to OVERFLOW." AIAA Paper 97-0644, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reston, VA, January 1997.
12. Hisley, D., and D. Frist. "Performance of a Sequential and Parallel Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) Solver on a Missile Body Configuration." ARL-TR-2032, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, August 1999.
13. Suhs, N. E., and R. W. Tramel. *PEGSUS 4.0 User's Manual*. AEDC-TR-8, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Arnold Air Force Base, TN, November 1991.
14. Chan, W.M. OVERGRID Software Documentation. U.S. Army Research Laboratory-Major Shared Resource Center (ARL-MSRC), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, April 1999.
15. Whirley R. G, D. E. Englemann, and J. O. Hallquist. "DYNA3D; A Nonlinear, Explicit, 3-D Finite Element Code for Solid and Structural Mechanics - User's Manual." Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, UCRL-MA-107254 revision 1, November 1993.



<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER DTIC OCA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
1	HQDA DAMO FDT 400 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460
1	OSD OUSD(A&T)/ODDR&E(R) DR R J TREW 3800 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-3800
1	COMMANDING GENERAL US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMCRDA TF 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
1	INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN 3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400 AUSTIN TX 78759-5316
1	US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR EXCELLENCE MADN MATH THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL D DR D SMITH 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI AI R 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI LL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI IS T 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
	<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>
2	DIR USARL AMSRL CI LP (BLDG 305)

NO. OF  
COPIES   ORGANIZATION

2   USAF WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL  
LABORATORIES  
AFWAL FIMG  
J SHANG  
N E SCAGGS  
WPAFB OH 45433-6553

1   COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR  
ATTN CODE B40  
W YANTA  
DAHLGREN VA 22448-5100

1   COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR  
CODE 420  
A WARDLAW  
INDIAN HEAD MD 20640-5035

4   DIRECTOR  
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND  
SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR  
TECH LIBRARY  
D M BUSHNELL  
M J HEMSCH  
J SOUTH  
LANGLEY STATION  
HAMPTON VA 23665

2   ARPA  
P KEMMEY  
J RICHARDSON  
3701 NORTH FAIRFAX DR  
ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714

7   DIRECTOR  
NASA  
AMES RESEARCH CENTER  
MS 227 8 L SCHIFF  
MS 258 1 T HOLST  
MS 258 1 D CHAUSSEE  
MS 258 1 M RAI  
MS 258 1 P KUTLER  
MS 258 1 P BUNING  
MS 258 1 B MEAKIN  
MOFFETT FIELD CA 94035

1   USMA  
DEPT OF MECHANICS  
A L DULL  
WEST POINT NY 10996

NO. OF  
COPIES   ORGANIZATION

4   COMMANDER  
US ARMY TACOM ARDEC  
AMSTA AR FSF T  
BLDG 382  
C NG  
H HUDGINS  
J GRAU  
W KOENIG  
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ  
07806-5000

1   COMMANDER  
US ARMY TACOM  
AMSTA AR CCH B  
P VALENTI  
BLDG 65 S  
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ  
07806-5001

1   COMMANDER  
US ARMY ARDEC  
SFAE FAS SD  
M DEVINE  
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ  
07806-5001

1   COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CTR  
F MOORE  
DAHLGREN VA 22448

2   UNIV OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS  
DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENG  
H A DWYER  
M HAFEZ  
DAVIS CA 95616

1   AEROJET ELECTRONICS PLANT  
D W PILLASCH  
B170 DEPT 5311  
P O BOX 296  
1100 WEST HOLLYVALE STREET  
AZUSA CA 91702

3   AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LAB  
AFATL FXA  
S C KORN  
B SIMPSON  
D BELK  
EGLIN AFB FL 32542-5434

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH TECH LIBRARY 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVE CAMBRIDGE MA 02139
1	GRUMANN AEROSPACE CORP AEROPHYSICS RESEARCH DEPT R E MELNIK BETHPAGE NY 11714
2	MICRO CRAFT INC J BENEK N SUHS 207 BIG SPRINGS AVE TULLAHOMA TN 37388-0370
1	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LAB B HOGAN MS G770 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545
3	DIRECTOR SANDIA NATIONAL LAB DIV 1554 W OBERKAMPF DIV 1554 F BLOTTNER DIV 1636 W WOLFE ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185
1	NAVAL AIR WARFARE CTR D FINDLAY MS 3 BLDG 2187 PATUXENT RIVER MD 20670
1	METACOMP TECHNOLOGIES S R CHAKRAVARTHY 650 HAMPSHIRE ROAD SUITE 200 WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361-2510
2	ROCKWELL SCIENCE CENTER S V RAMAKRISHNAN V V SHANKAR 1049 CAMINO DOS RIOS THOUSAND OAKS CA 91360
1	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CTR ARVIN CALSPAN AERODYNAMICS RSCH DEPT M S HOLDEN PO BOX 400 BUFFALO NY 14225

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENG G S DULIKRAVICH UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802
1	UNIV OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA CHAMPAIGN DEPT OF MECHANICAL AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING J C DUTTON URBANA IL 61801
1	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENG J D ANDERSON JR COLLEGE PARK MD 20742
1	UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DEPT OF AERONAUTICAL AND MECHANICAL ENGINEERING T J MUELLER NOTRE DAME IN 46556
1	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENG MECHANICS D S DOLLING AUSTIN TX 78712-1055
1	UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENG J MEAKIN NEWARK DE 19716
1	COMMANDER USAAMCOM AMSAM RD SS G LANDINGHAM REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5252
3	COMMANDER USAAMCOM AMSAM RD SS AS E KREEGER C D MIKKELSON E VAUGHN REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5252

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 COMMANDER  
US ARMY TACOM ARDEC  
BLDG 162S  
AMCPM DS MO  
P J BURKE  
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ  
07806-5000

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

3 CDR US ARMY ARDEC  
FIRING TABLES BRANCH  
R LIESKE  
R EITMILLER  
F MIRABELLE  
BLDG 120

37 DIR USARL  
AMSRL WM  
J SMITH  
AMSRL WM B  
A W HORST JR  
W CIEPIELA  
AMSRL WM BA  
D LYON  
T BROWN  
AMSRL WM BD  
B FORCH  
AMSRL WM BD  
M NUSCA  
AMSRL WM BC  
P PLOSTINS  
M BUNDY  
G COOPER  
J DESPIRITO  
J GARNER  
B GUIDOS  
K HEAVEY(2 CPS)  
J NEWILL (10 CPS)  
V OSKAY  
J SAHU  
K SOENCKSEN  
D WEBB  
P WEINACHT  
S WILKERSON  
A ZIELINSKI  
AMSRL WM BF  
J LACETERA  
H EDGE  
AMSRL SL BE  
A MIKHAIL

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (CONT)

AMSRL CI  
C NIETUBICZ  
W STUREK

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE September 2001		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, February – October 2000
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Multidisciplinary Approach to Aerodynamic Loading of a 2.75-in Rocket With Fins			5. FUNDING NUMBERS 1L162618AH80	
6. AUTHOR(S) Karen R. Heavey, James F. Newill, and Jubaraj Sahu				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WM-BC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-MR-520	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  A multidisciplinary effort was undertaken to investigate the effect of structural loading on a rocket of interest to the U.S. Army. This report addresses the element of aerodynamic loading. Computational fluid dynamics techniques have been used to obtain numerical solutions for the flow field of a rocket with and without fins. Computed results have been obtained for a Mach number of 2.0 at 0° and 5° angles of attack. Qualitative flow field features show the surface pressure on the surface of the rocket. The surface pressure data was then extracted from the solution files. Software was developed to couple this data to a structural dynamics solver. The results provided the aerodynamic loading component used during the initial portion of the rocket flight. Preliminary results showing x-stress on the rocket at a 5° angle of attack are shown. Additional efforts are needed to generalize and fine tune the CFD/SD methods.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS multidisciplinary, projectile aerodynamics, finite element, stress analysis, coupled computational fluids-structural dynamics, finned missile, angle of attack			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.